PREFACE

The Goodlad Institute for Educational Renewal honors the remarkable half-century career of John Goodlad and structures a collaborative framework within which his work can continue. Perhaps best known for celebrating the central importance of education in a social and political democracy, Dr. Goodlad has been equally concerned with practical implementation, showing how actual school practices fall short of democratic ideals and then recommending how those gaps might be bridged.

After conducting influential studies of public schools and the education of educators, Dr. Goodlad initiated a national effort to support educational quality and renewal. Looking beyond high test scores as quality indicators, Dr. Goodlad grounded his efforts in the essential role of education in sustaining the social and institutional underpinnings of democratic life, as reflected in a four-part mission for schools: (a) providing equal access to quality, school-based learning for the young, (b) giving students the knowledge, skills, and dispositions to become fully engaged participants in a democratic society, (c) improving teaching and learning through pedagogy that nurtures and challenges all learners; and (d) promoting responsible stewardship of schools and universities.

His ensuing pursuit of education’s democratic public goals have encouraged simultaneous renewal in schools and the education of educators, supported ongoing local renewal in educational institutions, and developed a national cadre of educational leaders who share intellectual and moral perspectives on school renewal. The work has grown into a collaboration among 25 school-university partnerships, the National Network for Educational Renewal (NNER), and an independent research organization, the Institute for Educational Inquiry (IEI), which supports educational renewal through research, leadership development, and external communication.

Impact is evident in widespread local innovations that illustrate how schools and universities can serve democratic purposes. These changes, in turn, have framed new ways of thinking about schooling, including the importance of partnerships in the preparation of educators, the centrality of equitable learning opportunities as schools become more diverse, the impact of the university’s general education program on teacher education, and the potential for more democratic educational institutions.

To continue this work, the Goodlad Institute for Educational Renewal will serve as a center of support, inquiry, and information regarding renewal of educational institutions, collaborating with the NNER to advance the public democratic purposes of education.
PURPOSE

This second annual report provides the annual narrative and financial report pursuant to the Memorandum of Agreement between the Institute for Educational Inquiry and the University of Washington and the Charter of the Goodlad Institute for Educational Renewal. The report describes the Institute’s major activities from October 1, 2009 through September 30, 2010.

Organization and Location of the Institute

Launched on April 8, 2008, the Goodlad Institute for Educational Renewal is chartered as a University-wide program that is initially administered by UW Bothell and housed in the Office of the Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs. Its offices are currently located in the UW2 Building with access to all the support services of the Bothell campus and the University. Dr. Tom Bellamy serves as the founding director of the Goodlad Institute, and Kellie Holden serves as the part-time Institute Administrator.

History of the Institute’s Program

Although relatively new, the Goodlad Institute began its work with significant capabilities and intellectual resources. It grows out of and assumes responsibility for continuing and expanding over two decades of work by the Institute for Educational Inquiry (IEI). Established and led by Dr. John Goodlad, the IEI articulated an enduring vision of the mission of public education in a democracy. It then successfully pursued that agenda through an integrated strategy of coordinated local demonstrations, critical inquiry, leadership development, and professional communications. Based on the belief that educational excellence ultimately depends on ongoing local educational renewal, the National Network for Educational Renewal (NNER) was established as a related organization in 1986 to contribute collegial support and national visibility to the simultaneous renewal of P-12 schools and the education of educators. The NNER currently includes 21 partnerships across North America, each connecting local P-12 schools with university colleges of education and colleges of arts and sciences. As components of a planned initial period of leadership transition and coordination and transfer of functions, the Goodlad Institute joins with the NNER as partners in sustaining and advancing the work of the IEI, jointly building on its strong infrastructure, national reputation, and professional visibility.

The Institute’s mission, vision, and initial priorities emerged from a series of conversations between the Institute Director and Dr. Goodlad, with consultation from leaders across the IEI and NNER helping to identify where shared interests across NNER partnerships might provide a basis for significant contributions to current debates about what quality education is and how to achieve it.
MISSION

The Goodlad Institute for Educational Renewal exists to promote the public democratic purposes of education by supporting and studying processes for continuous renewal in public schools, universities, and their communities.

Democratic societies depend on education to prepare each new generation to assume the responsibilities of citizenship and to safeguard the principles and institutions that support democratic life. Helping students achieve ambitious learning in core subjects is one part, but full participation in democratic life requires education to do much more—to balance learning across a wide range of academic subjects, ensure that all students have equitable access to learning, and support the social and emotional development that caring educators can foster. The Goodlad Institute promotes the ambitious, balanced, and equitable student learning on which our democratic society depends by supporting and studying continuous renewal in schools, the education of educators, and the communities on which both depend.

Further, although much learning happens outside school, democracies depend on educational institutions at all levels to ensure that the needed student learning occurs. But like other institutions in a democratic society, schools are subject to constant remaking through advocacy from many different groups and action at each level of government. And, as is inevitable in a diverse society, one group’s improvement is often another’s crisis; school quality is never “fixed” once and for all by any program or policy. Sustaining school excellence requires continuous renewal in both professional work, as new challenges are addressed with innovative approaches, and civic processes, as competing interests are negotiated and balanced within the public missions of educational institutions.

VISION

By developing examples, ideas, leaders, and networks that highlight the public democratic purposes of education, the Goodlad Institute aims to energize a new national conversation about what high-quality education means in a democracy and how it can be achieved.

Because educational institutions are constantly shaped through democratic processes, sustaining ambitious and equitable learning requires simultaneous action by many groups who influence what happens in schools—policy makers, professional organizations, businesses that market products and services to schools, local communities that provide tax support, universities that prepare teachers, families who choose schools and advocate for priorities, and individual educators who make hundreds of daily decisions that affect student learning. Widespread change occurs only when compelling new ideas enter the public conversation and find expression in each group’s actions. Successfully promoting the public democratic purposes of education, then, means contributing to and changing the public conversation about education in ways that influence many different groups.
OPERATING PRINCIPLES

Leading by Example. The core of the Institute’s strategy is a belief in the power of positive examples to spread ideas and shape strategy. Big ideas first must be translated into daily action, and tested in the rush of practice and the crosscurrents of local priorities. Once imbued with the credibility of positive results, they can then be brought to the national conversation.

Focus on Simultaneous Renewal of Educational Institutions. The local examples of excellence that form the core of the Institute’s strategy seldom develop in isolation and are rarely sustained without corresponding changes in other parts of the education system. Consequently, the Institute’s work reflects a belief that powerful local examples involve boundary-spanning partnerships and leadership. This is true within the education profession, because PK-12 schools, community colleges, and universities are mutually dependent. And beyond the profession deliberations about quality schooling necessarily involve policy makers, families, and community groups as well as education professionals. The Institute’s strategy, then, is to support local educational renewal in the context of local partnerships in which institutions support and challenge each other to constantly improve results for children and youth.

Collaboration with NNER Settings. The Institute makes particular effort to develop projects that advance the local work of various NNER settings while at the same time offering opportunities for the Institute to pursue its broader mission of inquiry and advocacy. This involves, for example, seeking topic areas that are of interest to a cluster of NNER settings and other partners where Institute participation and cross-setting collaboration can add value to local efforts. Topic areas where new approaches offer opportunities for significant renewal will be of particular interest.

Emphasis on Communication and Dissemination. In order to promote a supportive national context for pursuing the public democratic purposes of education, the Institute emphasizes dissemination of information that supports, informs, and encourages collaboration among professional, policy, and local civic leadership for school renewal. Sustainable changes occur in education only when new ways of thinking become a part of the public conversation and begin to shape a willingness to change established patterns. Local demonstrations provide the foundation for new ways of thinking, but these must then be translated into news stories, books, articles, presentations, and media that show others what is possible. The Institute will provide leadership for communicating the intellectual basis for a focus on democratic purposes and local renewal processes as critical elements of the effort to achieve high-quality schools. A particular focus will be external dissemination of information that is useable by policy, public, and professional groups and partnerships with these groups to make our communications more useful.

Engagement of Faculty from all Three Campuses of the University of Washington. With a charter that emphasizes university-wide participation, the Institute is engaging faculty from education, arts and sciences, and other disciplines from the entire University, based on faculty interests and consistent with the mission and strategy of the Institute.
PRIORITY AREAS AND PROGRAM DEVELOPMENT

Five broad areas for initial exploration and program development have structured the Institute’s initial work. While planning and exploration continue in all five areas, program development has naturally depended on availability of funding for related grants.

1. **Supporting Teacher Leadership for Curriculum Renewal.** Curriculum and instruction are at the forefront of many current concerns—how to broaden the school curriculum beyond the narrow focus of state tests, how to increase achievement in mathematics and science, how to make learning more equitable, and so on. While such renewal involves simultaneous change in many different organizations and cultures that affect schooling, actual implementation depends most centrally on teachers changing their daily practices. Teachers are particularly effective leaders when they are actively engaged in improving their own practice, interested in supporting colleagues as they try new approaches, and able to describe reasons for change that connect with their colleagues’ professional commitments. Support for teacher leaders, then, provides an important leverage point for stimulating local school renewal and influencing the national conversation about school quality. The IEI and NNER have built strong capabilities in leadership development in over 15 years of leadership associates programs. New efforts to support teacher leadership for curriculum renewal will build on previous successes in supporting dialog about education’s public democratic missions, a history of helping leadership associates form successful learning communities, and pedagogy that links learning with daily professional practice.

During the current reporting period, the Goodlad Institute continued work on one previously funded project and submitted two grant projects associated with this priority topic area.

- The continuing project is the “Math 2.0: Teaching Math in a Technical World” project, which was funded last year by the Washington Higher Education Coordinating Board for a three-year period (flow-through funds from the U.S. Department of Education). A partnership with the North Central Washington Educational Service District and Central Washington University, this project provides professional development for teachers of mathematics in several of Washington’s most rural and diverse school districts.

- A project titled “Different Drumbeats: Art, Principled Dissent, and Democratic Practice” was submitted to the National Endowment for the Humanities in October 2009. This was a follow up to an unsuccessful proposal during the previous year. While our earlier effort included several additional NNER partnerships, this year’s grant announcement limited the focus to a single region. We proposed to provide professional development for teachers of history in the Puget Sound area, and were awarded a one-year grant for $75,000. The project is currently underway under the leadership of Dr. Linda Watts, UW Bothell Professor of Interdisciplinary Arts and Sciences.
• A continued effort to establish coordinated programs in teaching American History across NNER settings during the year. Three school districts submitted grants to the Federal Teaching American History program in 2010: (a) McLean County Unit District No. 5, in partnership with Illinois State University, (b) Tacoma School District in partnership with the University of Washington Tacoma, and (c) Northshore School District in partnership with the University of Washington Bothell. None of these proposals received funding this year.

• Submission of a grant application, “Reading English for Academic Learning” project to the U.S. Department of Education’s Institute of Education Sciences. Co-Principal Investigators are Antony Smith (UW Bothell Education Program) and Michael Goldberg (UW Bothell Interdisciplinary Arts and Sciences). This three-year project will develop materials and assist teachers in making secondary history instruction more accessible to students who are learning English. The project is currently under review.

2. Preparing and Supporting School Principals. Working at the confluence of community demographics, local expectations for schools, professional priorities, and public policy requirements, principals have experienced perhaps the most rapid changes among education professionals. An increasing number of children who have limited English proficiency, live in poverty, or experience disabilities, create learning challenges at the same time that public policies and district practices hold administrators accountable for immediate results on state tests. Choice models intensify pressures for principals to focus on external marketing while they are also expected to spend more time directly engaged with teaching and learning in their schools. A rush of recommendations for reform and replacement of principal preparation programs has followed, highlighting the critical role that principals have in any effort to improve school quality. The NNER and Goodlad Institute can bring an important and largely missing perspective to this cacophony of reform proposals. Our commitment to the public democratic purposes of education helps consider changes in a comprehensive way that balances immediate test scores with other public education missions. Our long history of school-university partnerships opens possibilities for investigation and collaboration that are difficult to accomplish elsewhere.

During the current reporting period, the Institute’s work related to this priority topic has included:

• Submission of the “Leaders Leveraging Learning and Change” project to the U. S. Department of Education’s School Leadership Program. We proposed a combined program of new principal preparation and principal professional development in partnership with the Bremerton School District and the North Central Education Service District in Washington. We requested a total of $2,425,000 for a five-year project, but did not receive funding.

• Submission of the “Learning-Centered Leadership Design” project to the Institute of Education Sciences. A three-year research project, this effort would allow UW Bothell to partner with nine Puget Sound school districts to develop teacher leadership programs as a job-embedded first stage of preparation for the principalship. The application is currently under review.
3. **Renewing the Education of Teacher Educators.** This priority topic area focuses on doctoral preparation of teacher educators who are skilled contributors to inquiry and practice in both PK-12 schools and university programs. Partnerships are so central to the preparation of new teachers that high-quality teacher education depends on university faculty who can work across P-12 and university boundaries, stimulating changes in each institution with the knowledge and challenges of the other. University teacher education faculty members function in such roles as student-teaching supervisor, director of the teacher education program, teacher of a school-based methods course or practicum, leader of a teacher action-research project, or researcher on a critical question related to teacher education or PK-12 learning. In each case, renewal in both PK-12 and higher education is supported when the teacher educator is able to work effectively in the cultures of both schools and universities, modeling and fostering an inquiry stance that supports continuous improvement. Fulfilling these roles effectively requires skills and knowledge not often emphasized in education doctoral programs. The Goodlad Institute will focus on program renewal efforts that help prospective faculty develop “hybrid competencies” that cross boundaries among specializations within the university and between the cultures of universities and P-12 schools.

During the current reporting period, the Institute’s work related to this priority topic has included:

- Assisting the UW Tacoma’s Education Program faculty in development of their application “Project RTI: Restructuring, Transforming, Implementing a Dual-Track RTI Teacher Preparation Program” to the U. S. Department of Education’s Office of Special Education Programs. The project, which supports faculty and program development associated with a new dual certification program in elementary and special education, was funded for five years for a total of $1,432,081. While most of the project will be administered by the UW Tacoma faculty, $124,982 will directly support Institute staff as they assist with design and development of school-university partnerships that will sustain the program renewal.

- Although not funded by a grant project, the Institute hosted a one-day professional development seminar for faculty in the UW Bothell Education program and administrators from three partner school districts to assist in planning for the use of partner schools in the elementary and secondary teacher certification programs at Bothell. Approximately 30 attendees heard presentations by principals, university faculty, and school district administrators from several NNER settings with particularly effective partner school programs. Plans are underway to pilot similar efforts in the UW Bothell program.

4. **Promoting Civic-Professional Collaboration for School Renewal.** In broad terms, the need for local civic-professional collaboration for school renewal arises from the limitations of external efforts to drive educational reform through policies and funding. While these do have their place in governance of public education, schooling is also a very local endeavor that must constantly adjust to the aspirations and priorities of students and their families and communities and accommodate local differences in
those priorities. When reform efforts ignore or try to overpower these local priorities and differences, implementation is, at best, marginal, and often lasts only until the next issue or group takes the spotlight. But with so many competing local needs, it is often difficult to get collective attention directed to young people’s learning, much less to reach agreement on what is needed and to take action toward improvement. Local conflicts about educational goals often undermine school renewal as priorities shift with new board members or administrators. The Institute’s work will build on the long standing school-university partnerships of the IEI and NNER and their initial work in engaging communities in deliberations about school renewal. The goal is to create new structures and leaders for local civic and professional collaboration for educational renewal.

During the current reporting period, the Institute’s work related to this priority topic has included:

- Submission of the “The Community Counterbalance: Refocusing High School Reform through Sponsored Local Deliberation” to the Ford Foundation. The application requested $1,082,000 over three years to work on model community deliberation projects with three NNER settings. The project was not funded.

- Providing assistance to the NNER and the Center for Social and Emotional Education (which has informal ties to the CUNY partnership) to apply for the “School Climate Improvement Project” under the U. S. Department of Education’s Investment in Innovation grant competition. The project, which was not funded, would have expanded current work on democratic engagement to improve school climates.

5. **Supporting Renewal in P-12 schools.** Public policies that emphasize achievement in core academic subjects for all children combine with high levels of childhood poverty, limited English proficiency, and disabilities to create challenging contexts for school renewal. And, when educators and their publics are committed to the broader purposes of education in a democracy, the process of continuing renewal are even more difficult. While all NNER partnerships are deeply engaged in local educational renewal efforts, the Goodlad Institute seeks to support this work with related inquiry into strategies and processes for educational renewal.

During the current reporting period, the Institute’s work related to this priority topic has included:

- Submission of a proposal to the Northshore School District to support efforts to apply strategies from high reliability organizations as part of the district’s improvement process. The first phase of this work was funded at $4000, and a second phase is currently being planned.

- Submission of the “Organizing for High Reliability Learning” project to the U.S. Department’s Institute of Education Sciences. If funded, this two-year research effort will enable the institute to study particularly successful schools in Washington State using characteristics of high reliability organizations to identify strategies that make learning more accessible to all students. The project is currently under review.
GOODLAD INSTITUTE PRODUCTS


FINANCIAL REPORT

Background

The Institute for Educational Inquiry provided initial funding for the Goodlad Institute for Educational Renewal through a Memorandum of Agreement with the University of Washington. The Memorandum provided for an allocation of $500,000 to be provided to the University to support the Institute’s operation, with the condition that these funds would be allocated only when they have been matched (on a 1:2 ratio) by funds raised from other sources to support the Institute’s work. This portion of the report details the Institute funding that was received from other sources during this report period, October 1, 2009 through September 30, 2010.

Funding from Grants

Funding from three grant projects contributed support for the Institute’s work during the current reporting year. These are:

- The “Different Drumbeats: Art, Principled Dissent, and Democratic Practice” project, funded by the National Endowment for the Humanities. The total budget was $75,000. Of this amount, $52,240 was for direct costs associated with the Institute’s work, and $22,759 for university indirect costs.

- The First Stage of the High Reliability Leadership project, funded by the Northshore School District for a total of $4000. Of this total, $2,564 was for direct costs associated with the Institute’s work, and $1,436 for university indirect costs.

- The project titled “Project RTI: Restructuring, Transforming, Implementing a Dual-Track RTI Teacher Preparation Program”, funded by the U.S. Department of Education’s Special Education Program. The total award was for $1,432,081. Of this amount, $1,326,001 will support program improvement activities at the University of Washington, with $124,982 specifically contributing to Goodlad Institute salaries, while $106,080 will support university indirect costs. We are requesting matching funds only for the portion of the grant that directly supports personnel in the Institute.

Total Fundraising

The total funding raised by the Institute to support its priorities and activities (excluding indirect costs retained by the University and subcontracts) during this reporting period year was $160,884.